arXiv:1603.05489v1 [math.FA] 17 Mar 2016

Banach spaces of linear operators and homogeneous polynomials without the approximation property

Sergio A. Pérez*
IMECC, UNICAMP
Rua Sérgio Buarque de Holanda, 651, CEP 13083-859, Campinas-SP, Brazil.
Email:Sergio.2060@hotmail.com

Abstract

We present many examples of Banach spaces of linear operators and homogeneous polynomials without the approximation property, thus improving results of Dineen and Mujica [11] and Godefroy and Saphar [13].

AMS MSC:46B28, 46G25

Keywords: Banach space, linear operator, compact operator, homogeneous polynomial, approximation property, complemented subspace.

1 Introduction

The approximation property was introduced by Grothendieck [14]. Enflo [12] gave the first example of a Banach space without the approximation property. Enflo's counterexample is an artificially constructed Banach space. The first naturally defined Banach space without the approximation property was given by Szankowski [25], who proved that the space $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2;\ell_2)$ of continuous linear operators on ℓ_2 does not have the approximation property. Later Godefroy and Saphar [13] proved that, if $\mathcal{L}_K(\ell_2;\ell_2)$ denotes the subspace of all compact members of $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2;\ell_2)$, then the quotient $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2;\ell_2)/\mathcal{L}_K(\ell_2;\ell_2)$ does not have the approximation property.

Recently Dineen and Mujica [11] proved that if $1<p\leq q<\infty$, then $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;\ell_q)$ does not have the approximation property. They also proved that if $1<p<\infty$ and $n\geq p$, then the space $\mathcal{P}(^n\ell_p)$ of continuous n-homogeneous polynomials on ℓ_p does not have the approximation property.

In this paper, by refinements of the methods of Dineen and Mujica [11] and Godefroy and Shapar [13], we present many examples of Banach spaces of linear operators and homogeneous polynomials which do not have the approximation property.

In Section 2 we present some examples of Banach spaces of linear operators without the approximation property. Among other results, we show that if $1<p\leq q<\infty$, and E and F are closed infinite dimensional subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively, then $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ does not have the approximation property. This improves a result of Dineen and Mujica [11]. We also show that if $1<p\leq q<\infty$, and E and F are closed infinite dimensional subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively, then the quotient $\mathcal{L}(E;F)/\mathcal{L}_K(E;F)$ does not have the approximation property. This improves a result of Godefroy and Saphar [13].

In Section 3 we present more examples of Banach spaces of linear operators without the approximation property. Our examples are Banach spaces of linear operators on Pelczynski's universal space U_1, on Orlicz sequence spaces ℓ_{M_p}, and on Lorentz sequence spaces $d(w,p)$.

In Section 4 we present examples of Banach spaces of homogeneous polynomials without the approximation property. Among other results we show that if $1<p<\infty$ and E is a closed infinite dimensional subspace of ℓ_p,

*S.Pérez was supported by CAPES and CNPq, Brazil. (corresponding author)

then $\mathcal{P}(^nE)$ does not have the approximation property for every $n \geq p$. This improves another result of Dineen and Mujica [11]. We also show that if $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and E and F are closed infinite dimensional subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively, then $\mathcal{P}(^nE;F)$ does not have the approximation property for every $n \geq 1$. We also show that if $n < p \leq q < \infty$, and E and F are closed infinite dimensional subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively, then the quotient $\mathcal{P}(^nE;F)/\mathcal{P}_K(^nE;F)$ does not have the approximation property.

This paper is based on part of the author's doctoral thesis at the Universidade Estadual de Campinas. This research has been supported by CAPES and CNPq.

The author is grateful to his thesis advisor, Professor Jorge Mujica, for his advice and help. He is also grateful to Professor Vinicius Fávaro for some helpful suggestions.

2 Banach spaces of linear operators without the approximation property

Let E and F denote Banach spaces over $\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K}$ is $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let E' denote the dual of E. Let $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_K(E;F)$) denote the space of all bounded (resp. compact) linear operators from E into F.

Let us recall that E is said to have the approximation property if given $K \subset E$ compact and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a finite rank operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E;E)$ such that $\|Tx - x\| < \epsilon$ for every $x \in K$. If E has the approximation property, then every complemented subspace of E also has the approximation property. E is said to have the bounded approximation property if there exists $\lambda \geq 1$ so that for every compact subset $K \subset E$ and for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a finite rank operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E;E)$ such that $\|T\| \leq \lambda$ and $\|Tx - x\| < \epsilon$ for every $x \in K$. E is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of F if and only if there are $A \in \mathcal{L}(E;F)$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}(F;E)$ such that $B \circ A = I$. These simple remarks will be repeatedly used throughout this paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let E and F be Banach spaces. If E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to M and N, respectively, then $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(M;N)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there are $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(M;E)$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E;M)$, $A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(N;F)$, $B_2 \in \mathcal{L}(F;N)$ such that $B_1 \circ A_1 = I$ and $B_2 \circ A_2 = I$. Consider the operators

$$C : S \in \mathcal{L}(M;N) \to A_2 \circ S \circ B_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E;F)$$

and

$$D : T \in \mathcal{L}(E;F) \to B_2 \circ T \circ A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(M;N).$$

Then $D \circ C = I$ and the desired conclusion follows. □

The next theorem improves [11, Proposition 2.4].

Theorem 2.2. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. If E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively, then $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ does not have the approximation property.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1 $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;\ell_q)$. Then the conclusion follows from [11, Proposition 2.2]. □

The next result improves [11, Proposition 2.2].

Theorem 2.3. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and let E and F be closed infinite dimensional subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively. Then $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ does not have the approximation property.*

Proof. By [21, Lemma 2] or [16, Proposition 2.a.2] E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively. Then the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2. □

The next result complements [11, Proposition 2.1].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $2 < p \leq q < \infty$, and let E and F be closed infinite dimensional subspaces of $L_p[0,1]$ and $L_q[0,1]$, respectively, with F not isomorphic to ℓ_2. Then $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ does not have the approximation property.*

Proof. (i) If E is not isomorphic to ℓ_2, then it follows from [22, p. 206, 12.5] that E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively. Then the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2.

(ii) If E is isomorphic to ℓ_2, then the same argument shows that $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(\ell_2;\ell_q)$, and the desired conclusion follows as before.

□

The next result improves [13, Corollary 2.8].

Theorem 2.5. *If $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, then $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;\ell_q)/\mathcal{L}_K(\ell_p;\ell_q)$ does not have the approximation property.*

Proof. We apply [13, Theorem 2.4]. By [15, Lemma 1] $\mathcal{L}_K(\ell_p;\ell_q)^\perp$ is a complemented subspace of $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;\ell_q)'$. By [18, Corollary 16.69] $\mathcal{L}_K(\ell_p;\ell_q)$ has a Schauder basis. If we assume that $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;\ell_q)/\mathcal{L}_K(\ell_p;\ell_q)$ has the approximation property, then [13, Theorem 2.4] would imply that $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;\ell_q)$ has the approximation property, thus contradicting [11, Proposition 2.2]. □

Remark 2.6. *If $1 < q < p < \infty$, then $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;\ell_q) = \mathcal{L}_K(\ell_p;\ell_q)$, by a result of Pitt [23]. Hence the restriction $p \leq q$ in the preceding theorem cannot be deleted.*

Theorem 2.7. *If E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to M and N, respectively, then $\mathcal{L}(E;F)/\mathcal{L}_K(E;F)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(M;N)/\mathcal{L}_K(M;N)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there are $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(M;E)$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E;M)$, $A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(N;F)$, $B_2 \in \mathcal{L}(F;N)$ such that $B_1 \circ A_1 = I$ and $B_2 \circ A_2 = I$. Let $C : \mathcal{L}(M;N) \to \mathcal{L}(E;F)$ and $D : \mathcal{L}(E;F) \to \mathcal{L}(M;N)$ be the operators from the proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $C(\mathcal{L}_K(M;N)) \subset \mathcal{L}_K(E;F)$ and $D(\mathcal{L}_K(E;F)) \subset \mathcal{L}_K(M;N)$, the operators

$$\tilde{C} : [S] \in \mathcal{L}(M;N)/\mathcal{L}_K(M;N) \to [A_2 \circ S \circ B_1] \in \mathcal{L}(E;F)/\mathcal{L}_K(E;F)$$

and

$$\tilde{D} : [T] \in \mathcal{L}(E;F)/\mathcal{L}_K(E;F) \to [B_2 \circ T \circ A_1] \in \mathcal{L}(M;N)/\mathcal{L}_K(M;N)$$

are well defined, and $\tilde{D} \circ \tilde{C} = I$, thus completing the proof. □

Theorem 2.8. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. If E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively, then $\mathcal{L}(E;F)/\mathcal{L}_K(E;F)$ does not have the approximation property.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7 $\mathcal{L}(E;F)/\mathcal{L}_K(E;F)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p;\ell_q)/\mathcal{L}_K(\ell_p;\ell_q)$. Then the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 2.5. □

By combining Theorem 2.8 and [21, Lemma 2] we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and let E and F be closed infinite dimensional subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively. Then $\mathcal{L}(E;F)/\mathcal{L}_K(E;F)$ does not have the approximation property.*

3 Other examples of Banach spaces of linear operators without the approximation property

Example 3.1. *Let U_1 denote the universal space of Pelczynski (see, [16, Theorem 2.d.10]). U_1 is a Banach space with an unconditional basis with the property that every Banach space with an unconditional basis is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of U_1. Since every ℓ_p $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ has an unconditional basis, it follows that every ℓ_p $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of U_1. By Theorem 2.2 none of the spaces $\mathcal{L}(U_1; U_1)$, $\mathcal{L}(U_1; \ell_q)$ $(1 < q < \infty)$ or $\mathcal{L}(\ell_p; U_1)$ $(1 < p < \infty)$ have the approximation property.*

Definition 3.2. *(see [16, p. 137])*

An Orlicz function M is a continuous convex nondecreasing function $M : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $M(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(t) = \infty$. Let

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x = (\xi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{K} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(|\xi_n/\rho) < \infty \ \ for\ some\ \ \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

Then ℓ_M is a Banach space for the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0; \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M(|\xi_n/\rho) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

ℓ_M is called an Orlicz sequence space.

Example 3.3. *Consider the Orlicz function $M_p(t) = t^p(1 + |\log t|)$, with $1 < p < \infty$. Then the Orlicz sequence space ℓ_{M_p} contains complemented subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_p (see [16, p. 157]). If $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, then by Theorem 2.2, $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{M_p}; \ell_{M_q})$ does not have the approximation property.*

Definition 3.4. *(see [16, p. 175])*

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $w = \{w_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $w_1 = 1$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} w_n = 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n = \infty$. Let

$$d(w, p) = \left\{ x = (\xi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{K} : \|x\| = \sup_{\pi} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\xi_{\pi(n)}|^p w_n \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\},$$

where π ranges over all permutations of $\mathbb{N}$. Then $d(w, p)$ is a Banach space, called a Lorentz sequence space.

Example 3.5. *It follows from [16, p. 177, Proposition 4.e.3] that every closed infinite dimensional subspace of $d(w, p)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p. By Theorem 2.2, if $1 < p \leq q < \infty$, and E and F are closed infinite dimensional subspaces of $d(w, p)$ and $d(w, q)$, respectively, then $\mathcal{L}(E; F)$ does not have the approximation property.*

4 Spaces of homogeneous polynomials without the approximation property

Let $\mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$ denote the Banach space of all continuous n-homogeneous polynomials from E into F. We omit F when $F = \mathbb{K}$. A polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$ is called compact if P takes bounded subsets in E into relatively compact subsets in F. Let $\mathcal{P}_K(^nE; F)$ denote the space of all compact n-homogeneous polynomials from E into F. We refer to [7] or [19] for background information on the theory of polynomials on Banach spaces.

An important tool in this section is a linearization theorem due to Ryan [24]. We will use the following version of Ryan's linearization theorem, wich appeared in [20]. Here τ_c denotes the compact-open topology.

Theorem 4.1. *For each Banach space E and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let*

$$Q(^nE) = (\mathcal{P}(^nE), \tau_c)',$$

with the norm induced by $\mathcal{P}(^nE)$, and let

$$\delta_n : x \in E \to \delta_x \in Q(^nE)$$

denote the evaluation mapping, that is, $\delta_x(P) = P(x)$ for every $x \in E$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(^nE)$. Then $Q(^nE)$ is a Banach space and $\delta_n \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; Q(^nE))$. The pair $(Q(^nE), \delta_n)$ has the following universal property: for each Banach space F and each $P \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$, there is a unique operator $T_p \in \mathcal{L}(Q(^nE); F)$ such that $T_p \circ \delta_n = P$. The mapping

$$P \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F) \to T_p \in \mathcal{L}(Q(^nE); F)$$

is an isometric isomorphism. Moreover $P \in \mathcal{P}_K(^nE; F)$ if only if $T_p \in \mathcal{L}_K(Q(^nE); F)$. Furthermore $Q(^nE)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\hat{\otimes}_{n,s,\pi}E$, the completion of the space of n-symmetric tensors on E, with the projective topology.

Theorem 4.2. *If E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to M and N, respectively, then $\mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(^nM; N)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there are $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(M; E)$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E; M)$, $A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(N; F)$, $B_2 \in \mathcal{L}(F; N)$ such that $B_1 \circ A_1 = I$ and $B_2 \circ A_2 = I$. Consider the operators

$$C : P \in \mathcal{P}(^nM; N) \to A_2 \circ P \circ B_1 \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F)$$

and

$$D : Q \in \mathcal{P}(^nE; F) \to B_2 \circ Q \circ A_1 \in \mathcal{P}(^nM; N).$$

Then $D \circ C = I$ and the desired conclusion follows. □

Corollary 4.3. *If E contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to M, then $\mathcal{P}(^nE)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(^nM)$.*

Proof. Take $F = N = \mathbb{K}$ in Theorem 4.2. □

The next theorem improves [11, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 4.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If E contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p, then $\mathcal{P}(^nE)$ does not have the approximation property for every $n \geq p$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.3 $\mathcal{P}(^nE)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(^n\ell_p)$. Then the conclusion follows from [11, Theorem 3.2]. □

Theorem 4.4 can be used to produce many additional counterexamples. For instance, by combining Theorem 4.4 and [21, Lemma 2] we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let E be a closed infinite dimensional subspace of ℓ_p. Then $\mathcal{P}(^nE)$ does not have the approximation property for every $n \geq p$.*

In a similar way we may obtain scalar-valued polynomial versions of Theorem 2.4 and Examples 3.1, 3.3 and 3.5. We leave the details to the reader.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. If E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively, then $\mathcal{P}(^nE;F)$ does not have the approximation property for every $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. By [4, Proposition 5], $\mathcal{L}(E;F)$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $\mathcal{P}(^nE;F)$. Then the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2. □

Theorem 4.6 can be used to produce many additional counterexamples. For instance, by combining Theorem 4.6 and [21, Lemma 2] we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $1 < p \leq q < \infty$. and let E and F be closed infinite dimensional subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}(^nE;F)$ does not have the approximation property for every $n \geq 1$.*

In a similar way we may obtain vector-valued polynomial versions of Theorem 2.4 and Examples 3.1, 3.3 and 3.5. We leave the details to the reader.

Theorem 4.8. *If $n < p \leq q < \infty$. Then $\mathcal{P}(^n\ell_p;\ell_q)/\mathcal{P}_K(^n\ell_p;\ell_q)$ does not have the approximation property.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 we can write

$$\mathcal{P}(^n\ell_p;\ell_q) = \mathcal{L}(Q(^n\ell_p);\ell_q)$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_K(^n\ell_p;\ell_q) = \mathcal{L}_K(Q(^n\ell_p);\ell_q).$$

We apply [13, Theorem 2.4]. By [15, Lemma 1] $\mathcal{L}_K(Q(^n\ell_p);\ell_q)^\perp$ is a complemented subspace of $\mathcal{L}(Q(^n\ell_p);\ell_q)'$. By [11, Remark 3.3] $\mathcal{P}(^n\ell_p)$ is a reflexive Banach space with a Schauder basis. Hence $Q(^n\ell_p)$ is also a reflexive Banach space with a Schauder basis. Then by [18, Corollary 16.69] $\mathcal{L}_K(Q(^n\ell_p);\ell_q)$ has a Schauder basis. If we assume that $\mathcal{L}(Q(^n\ell_p);\ell_q)/\mathcal{L}_K(Q(^n\ell_p);\ell_q)$ has the approximation property, then [13, Theorem 2.4] would imply that $\mathcal{L}(Q(^n\ell_p);\ell_q)$ has the approximation property. But this contradicts Theorem 2.8, since $\ell_p = Q(^1\ell_p)$ is a complemented subspace of $Q(^n\ell_p)$, by [4, Theorem 3]. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.9. *If E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to M and N, respectively, then $\mathcal{P}(^nE;F)/\mathcal{P}_K(^nE;F)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}(^nM;N)/\mathcal{P}_K(^nM;N)$.*

Proof. By hypothesis there are $A_1 \in \mathcal{L}(M;E)$, $B_1 \in \mathcal{L}(E;M)$, $A_2 \in \mathcal{L}(N;F)$, $B_2 \in \mathcal{L}(F;N)$ such that $B_1 \circ A_1 = I$ and $B_2 \circ A_2 = I$. Let

$$C : \mathcal{P}(^nM;N) \to \mathcal{P}(^nE;F)$$

and

$$D : \mathcal{P}(^nE;F) \to \mathcal{P}(^nM;N)$$

be the operators from the proof of Theorem 4.2. Since $C(\mathcal{P}_K(^nM;N)) \subset \mathcal{P}_K(^nE;F)$ and $D(\mathcal{P}_K(^nE;F)) \subset \mathcal{P}_K(^nM;N)$, the operators

$$\tilde{C} : [P] \in \mathcal{P}(^nM;N)/\mathcal{P}_K(^nM;N) \to [A_2 \circ P \circ B_1] \in \mathcal{P}(^nE;F)/\mathcal{P}_K(^nE;F)$$

and

$$\tilde{D} : [Q] \in \mathcal{P}(^nE;F)/\mathcal{P}_K(^nE;F) \to [B_2 \circ Q \circ A_1] \in \mathcal{P}(^nM;N)/\mathcal{P}_K(^nM;N)$$

are well-defined and $\tilde{D} \circ \tilde{C} = I$, thus completing the proof.

□

Theorem 4.10. *Let $n < p \leq q < \infty$. If E and F contain complemented subspaces isomorphic to ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively, then $\mathcal{P}(^nE;F)/\mathcal{P}_K(^nE;F)$ does not have the approximation property.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.9 $\mathcal{P}({}^nE;F)/\mathcal{P}_K({}^nE;F)$ contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}({}^n\ell_p;\ell_q)/\mathcal{P}_K({}^n\ell_p;\ell_q)$. Thus the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 4.8. □

Theorem 4.10 can be used to produce many additional counterexamples. For instance by combining Theorem 4.10 and [21, Lemma 2] we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.11. *Let $n < p \leq q < \infty$, and let E and F be closed infinite dimensional subspaces of ℓ_p and ℓ_q, respectively. Then $\mathcal{P}({}^nE;F)/\mathcal{P}_K({}^nE;F)$ does not have the approximation property.*

The interest in the study of the approximation property in spaces of homogeneous polynomials begun in 1976 with a paper of Aron and Schottenloher [3]. They begun the study of the approximation property on the space $\mathcal{H}(E)$ of all holomorphic functions on E under various topologies. Among many other results they proved that $(\mathcal{H}(E),\tau_w)$ has the approximation property if and only if $\mathcal{P}({}^nE)$ has the approximation property for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Here τ_w denotes the compact-ported topology introduced by Nachbin. They also proved that $\mathcal{P}({}^n\ell_1)$ has the approximation property for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Ryan [24] proved that $\mathcal{P}({}^nc_0)$ has a Schauder basis, and in particular has the approximation property, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Tsirelson [26] constructed a reflexive Banach space X, with an unconditional Schauder basis, which contains no subspace isomorphic to any ℓ_p. By using a result of Alencar, Aron and Dineen [2], Alencar [1] proved that $\mathcal{P}({}^nX)$ has a Schauder basis, and in particular has the approximation property, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$. In a series of papers Dineen and Mujica [8] [9] [10] have extended some of the results of Aron and Schottenloher [3] to spaces of holomorphic functions defined on arbitrary open sets.

References

[1] R. Alencar, *On reflexivity and basis for $\mathcal{P}({}^mE)$*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad ., 85 (1985) 131- 138.

[2] R. Alencar, R. Aron, S. Dineen, *A reflexive space of holomorphic functions in infinitely many variables*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 90 (1984) 407- 411.

[3] R.M.Aron, M. Schottenloher, *Compact holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces and the approximation property* . J. Funct. Anal. 21 (1976), 7–30.

[4] F. Blasco, *Complementation of symmetric tensor products and polynomials*, Studia Math. 123 (1997) 165-173.

[5] P. G. Casazza and B. Lin, *On symmetric basic sequences in Lorentz sequences spaces II*, Israel. J. Math. 17 (1974), 191-218.

[6] J. C. Díaz, S. Dineen, *Polynomials on stable spaces*. Ark. Mat. 36 (1998), 87–96.

[7] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis on Infinite Dimensional Spaces*, Springer, 1999.

[8] S. Dineen, J.Mujica: *The approximation property for spaces of holomorphic functions on infinite dimensional spaces. I.* J. Approx. Theory 126 (2004), 141–156.

[9] S. Dineen, J.Mujica: *The approximation property for spaces of holomorphic functions on infinite dimensional spaces. II.* J. Funct. Anal. 259 (2010), 545–560.

[10] S. Dineen, J.Mujica: *The approximation property for spaces of holomorphic functions on infinite dimensional spaces. III.* Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat., RACSAM 106 (2012), 457–469.

[11] S. Dineen, J. Mujica, *Banach spaces of homogeneous polynomials without the approximation property*, Czechoslovak Math. J., 65 (140) (2015) 367-374.

[12] P. Enflo, *A counterexample to the approximation problem in Banach spaces*. Acta Math. 130 (1973), 309–317.

[13] G. Godefroy, P.D. Saphar, *Three-space problems for the approximation properties,* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 105, 70-75 (1989).

[14] A. Grothendieck, *Produits Tensoriels Topologiques et Espaces Nucléaires*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1955), 140 pages. (In French.)

[15] J. Johnson, *Remarks on Banach spaces of compact operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 32 (1979), 304-311.

[16] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces I*, Springer, Berlin 1977.

[17] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces II*, Springer, Berlin 1979.

[18] F. Marián, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler, *Banach Space Theory: The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*. New York, Springer, 2011.

[19] J. Mujica, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces. Holomorphic Functions and Domains of Holomorphy in Finite and Infinite Dimensions.* North-Holland Math. Stud. 120. Notas de Matemática 107, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

[20] J. M u j i c a, *Linearization of bounded holomorphic mappings on Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 324 (1991) 867-887.

[21] A. Pelczyński, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Stud. Math. 19 (1960), 209-228.

[22] A. Pelczynski, C. Bessaga, *Some aspects of the present theory of Banach spaces, in: S. Banach, Theory of Linear Operations* , North- Holland Mathematical Library Vol. 38, North- Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.

[23] H. Pitt, *A note on bilinear forms*, London Math. Soc., 11 (1936) 174- 180.

[24] R. Ryan, *Applications of topological tensor products to infinite dimensional holomorphy*, Ph. D. Thesis, Trinity College, Dublin 1980.

[25] A. Szankowski, *B(H) does not have the approximation property*. Acta Math. 147 (1981), 89–108.

[26] B. Tsirelson, *Not every Banach space contains an imbedding of ℓ_p or c_0*, Functional Anal. Appl., 9 (1974) 138- 141.